arXiv:math/0210137v1 [math.CA] 9 Oct 2002

A POSITIVE RADIAL PRODUCT FORMULA FOR THE DUNKL KERNEL

MARGIT RÖSLER

Abstract. It is an open conjecture that generalized Bessel functions associated with root systems have a positive product formula for non-negative multiplicity parameters of the associated Dunkl operators. In this paper, a partial result towards this conjecture is proven, namely a positive radial product formula for the non-symmetric counterpart of the generalized Bessel function, the Dunkl kernel. Radial hereby means that one of the factors in the product formula is replaced by its mean over a sphere. The key to this product formula is a positivity result for the Dunkl-type spherical mean operator. It can also be interpreted in the sense that the Dunkl-type generalized translation of radial functions is positivity-preserving. As an application, we construct Dunkl-type homogeneous Markov processes associated with radial probability distributions.

1. Introduction

Along with addition formulas, product formulas have always been a challenging topic in the area of one-variable special functions. Typically, positive product formulas are obvious for particular parameters from a group theoretical background (when e.g. the functions under consideration have an interpretation as the spherical functions of a Gelfand pair), but it is difficult to obtain a generalization to larger classes of parameter values. For special functions in several variables such questions seem to be even more intricate. One open conjecture in this direction concerns positive product formulas for multivariable Bessel functions associated with root systems, provided all multiplicity parameters are nonnegative. In the present paper, we prove a partial result towards a positive product formula for multivariable Bessel functions on a Weyl chamber, namely a positive radial product formula for the non-symmetric counterpart of the generalized Bessel function, the Dunkl kernel. This kernel is the analogue of the usual exponential function in the theory of rational Dunkl operators as developed in [6] - [8]. To become more precise, let us briefly introduce our setting. Let R be a (reduced, not necessary crystallographic) root system in $\mathbb{R}^N$, equipped with the standard Euclidean inner product $\langle\,.\,,\,.\,\rangle$, i.e. $\mathrm{R}\subset\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\{0\}$ is finite with $R\cap\mathbb{R}\alpha=\{\pm\alpha\}$ and $\sigma_\alpha(R)=R$ for all $\alpha\in R$, where σ_α denotes the reflection in the hyperplane perpendicular to α. We assume R to be normalized such that $\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle=2$ for all $\alpha\in R$. This simplifies formulas, but is no loss of generality for our purposes. Further let G denote the finite reflection group generated by $\{\sigma_\alpha\,,\,\alpha\in R\}$ and let $k:R\to\mathbb{C}$ be a fixed multiplicity function on

Date: October 8, 2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 33C52; Secondary 44A35, 35L15.

Key words and phrases. Dunkl operators, Dunkl kernel, product formula, multivariable Bessel functions.

R, i.e. a function which is constant on the orbits under the action of G. We shall always assume that k is non-negative, i.e. $k(\alpha) \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in R$. The first-order rational Dunkl operators attached to G and k are defined by

$$T_\xi(k)f(x) \,=\, \partial_\xi f(x) + \sum_{\alpha\in R_+} k(\alpha)\langle\alpha,\xi\rangle \frac{f(x)-f(\sigma_\alpha x)}{\langle\alpha,x\rangle}, \quad x,\,\xi\in\mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.1}$$

Here ∂_ξ denotes the derivative in direction ξ and R_+ is some fixed positive subsystem of R. The definition is independent of the special choice of R_+, thanks to the G-invariance of k. As first shown in [6], the $T_\xi(k)$, $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^N$ generate a commutative algebra of differential-reflection operators. This is the foundation for rich analytic structures related with them. In particular, there exists a counterpart of the usual exponential function, called the Dunkl kernel, and an analogue of the Euclidean Fourier transform with respect to this kernel. The Dunkl kernel E_k is holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}^N\times\mathbb{C}^N$ and symmetric in its arguments. Similar to the situation for spherical functions on a Riemannian symmetric space, the function $E_k(\,.\,,y)$ with fixed spectral parameter $y\in\mathbb{C}^N$ may be characterized as the unique analytic solution of the joint eigenvalue problem

$$T_\xi(k)f \,=\, \langle\xi,y\rangle f \quad \text{for all } \xi\in\mathbb{C}^N,\;\; f(0)=1, \tag{1.2}$$

c.f. [20]. Apart from the trivial case $k=0$, where $E_k(x,y)=e^{\langle x,y\rangle}$, the kernel E_k is explicitly known in very few cases only. These include the rank-one case (see Section 2.3.) as well as the symmetric group $G=S_3$ ([9]). The reflection invariant counterpart of E_k is the so-called generalized Bessel function

$$J_k(x,y)=\frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G}E_k(gx,y),$$

which has been first studied in [20]. It is G-invariant in both arguments and naturally considered on the Weyl chambers of G. If the rank of R is one, then J_k coincides with a usual Bessel function. In particular cases, all being related with Weyl groups and certains half-integer multiplicity parameters, generalized Bessel functions can be given an interpretation as the spherical functions of a Euclidean-type symmetric space. We shall have a closer look on these examples in the appendix, Section 7. In these cases, and for all non-negative multiplicity-parameters in the rank-one case, the generalized Bessel functions J_k have a positive product formula of the form

$$J_k(x,z)J_k(y,z) \,=\, \int_{\overline{C}} J_k(\xi,z)\,d\nu^k_{x,y}(\xi) \quad \text{for all } z\in\mathbb{C}^N. \tag{1.3}$$

Here $\overline{C}$ is the topological closure of the Weyl chamber

$$C=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^N : \langle\alpha,x\rangle>0 \quad \text{for all } \alpha\in R_+\},$$

and the $\nu^k_{x,y}$ are compactly supported probability measures on $\overline{C}$. It is conjectured that a positive product formula of the form (1.3) should in fact be valid for arbitrary reflection groups and non-negative multiplicity parameters. In that case, one would obtain a positivity-preserving convolution of regular bounded Borel measures on the chamber $\overline{C}$ when defining the convolution of point measures according to $\delta_x\circ_k\delta_y:=\nu^k_{x,y}$. In the special cases mentioned above, this convolution induces the structure of a commutative hypergroup on $\overline{C}$, and we conjecture that

this should be true in general (for non-negative multiplicities). Roughly speaking, a hypergroup consists of a locally compact Hausdorff space H together with a positivity-preserving convolution on the space of regular bounded Borel measures on H, which allows one to carry over the harmonic analysis on a locally compact group to a large extent. The hypergroup setting in particular includes double coset spaces $G//H$ with H a compact subgroup of a locally compact group G. For an introduction to the subject, the reader is referred to [5] or [17].

As already indicated, the generalized exponential function E_k is of particular interest as it gives rise to an integral transform on $\mathbb{R}^N$, commonly called the Dunkl transform. On suitable function spaces, this transform maps Dunkl operators to multiplication operators. The Dunkl transform provides a natural generalization of the usual Euclidean Fourier transform, to which it reduces in case $k = 0$. It is another open question whether the Dunkl transform admits an interpretation as the Gelfand transform of a suitable L^1-convolution algebra on $\mathbb{R}^N$ for arbitrary parameters $k \geq 0$. Again this is true in the rank-one case. Here the Dunkl kernel satisfies a product formula which leads to a convolution structure on the entire real line, providing a natural extension of the usual group structure, see [21]. In contrast to a hypergroup convolution, this convolution is not positivity-preserving if $k > 0$. We conjecture that an analogous statement is true for arbitrary rank and all multiplicities $k \geq 0$. In particular, the Dunkl kernel should have a product formula of the form

$$E_k(x,z)E_k(y,z) \,=\, \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} E_k(\xi,z)d\mu^k_{x,y}(\xi) \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}^N\,, \tag{1.4}$$

where the measures $\mu^k_{x,y}$ are signed Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}^N$ which are uniformly bounded with respect to total variation norm.

This paper presents a result towards both the stated conjectures, namely a positive "radial" product formula for E_k. More precisely, we shall prove that for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $t \geq 0$ there exists a unique compactly supported probability measure $\sigma^k_{x,t}$ on $\mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$E_k(ix,z)j_\lambda(t|z|) \,=\, \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} E_k(i\xi,z)d\sigma^k_{x,t}(\xi) \quad \text{for all } \; z \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.5}$$

Hereby the index of the Bessel function j_λ is given by $\lambda = \gamma + N/2 - 1$, with

$$\gamma = \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) \geq 0.$$

Of course, the kernel E_k in (1.5) may be equally replaced by the generalized Bessel function J_k. This product formula will be obtained from a study of the Dunkl-type spherical mean operator, as first introduced in [19]. In analogy to the classical case, the spherical mean operator $f \mapsto M_f$ is defined for $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by

$$M_f(x,t) = \frac{1}{d_k} \int_{S^{N-1}} f(x *_k ty) w_k(y) d\sigma(y) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^N,\, t \geq 0).$$

Here d_k is a normalization constant, w_k is the G-invariant weight function

$$w_k(x) \,=\, \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} |\langle \alpha, x\rangle|^{2k(\alpha)} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^N) \tag{1.6}$$

and $f(x *_k y)$ denotes the Dunkl-type generalized translation, which coincides with the usual group translation on $\mathbb{R}^N$ in case $k = 0$ and satisfies

$$E_k(x *_k y, z) = E_K(x, z)E_k(y, z) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N,\ z \in \mathbb{C}^N.$$

The key result of the present paper states that for $k \geq 0$, the associated spherical mean operator is positivity-preserving. This implies the existence of compactly supported probability measures $\sigma^k_{x,t}$ on $\mathbb{R}^N$ which represent this operator in the sense that

$$M_f(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f d\sigma^k_{x,t} \quad \text{for all } f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

When specializing to $f(x) = E_k(ix, z)$ with $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$, one obtains (1.5). Similar to the classical case $k = 0$, M_f satisfies a second order differential-reflection equation of Darboux-type. A study of the domain of dependence for this equation allows one to deduce further information on the support of the representing measures $\sigma^k_{x,t}$. In fact, the support of $\sigma^k_{x,t}$ turns out to be contained in the union of closed balls with radius t around the points gx, $g \in G$. In contrast to the classical case, where the support reduces to the sphere $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N : |\xi - x| = t\}$, now full balls as well as the complete G-orbit of x has to be taken into account. This is due to the reflection parts in the generalized Darboux equation for M_f. These results are contained in Theorem 4.1. A slightly weaker variant of Theorem 4.1 is given in Theorem 5.1. Here it is shown that the Dunkl-type generalized translation (more precisely, the mapping $f \mapsto f(x *_k y)$) is positivity-preserving when restricted to radial f. In Section 6, the obtained results are applied to construct "radial" semigroups $(P_t)_{t\geq 0}$ of Markov kernels on $\mathbb{R}^N$, which are translation invariant in the generalized sense of [24]. Hereby P_t is obtained by a Dunkl-type translation of a probability measure μ_t of the form $d\mu_t(x) = w_k(x)d\mu'_t(x)$, where μ'_t is rotation invariant.

2. Preliminaries

In this introductory part we give an account on results from Dunkl theory which will be relevant for the sequel. These concern in particular the Dunkl kernel, the Dunkl transform and generalized translations. The series expansion for the Dunkl kernel according derived in Section 2.2 is of some interest in its own and might be known, but it is, to the author's knowledge, nowhere written down. We also include a discussion of the rank-one case as a motivating example. For a further background in Dunkl theory, the reader is referred to [6] – [8], [15], [23], [28], and [10]. Concerning root systems and reflection groups, see [14].

Throughout the paper, $\langle\, .\, ,\, .\,\rangle$ denotes the standard Euclidean scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^N$ as well as its bilinear extension to $\mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{C}^N$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we write $|x| = \sqrt{\langle x, x\rangle}$. Further, $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$, and $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$. We denote by $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the space of infinitely often differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}^N$ and by $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing functions, both equipped with the usual Fréchet space topologies. For a locally compact Hausdorff space X, $C_b(X)$ denotes the space of continuous, bounded functions on X. Further, $M_b(X)$, $M_b^+(X)$ and $M^1(X)$ stand for the spaces of regular bounded complex Borel measures on X, those which are non-negative, and those which are probability measures respectively. The $\sigma(M_b(X), C_b(X))$-topology is referred to as the weak topolgy on $M_b(X)$, and the σ-algebra of Borel sets in X is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(X)$.

2.1. **Basics from Dunkl theory.** Let G be a finite reflection group on $\mathbb{R}^N$ with root system R, and fix a positive subsystem R_+ of R as well as a non-negative multiplicity function k. The associated Dunkl operators $T_\xi(k)$, defined according to (1.1), share many properties with usual partial derivatives. In particular, if $f \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $T_\xi(k)f \in C^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the $T_\xi(k)$ are homogeneous of degree -1 on polynomials and they leave the Schwartz space $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ invariant. Moreover, $T_\xi(k)$ is G-equivariant:

$$g \circ T_\xi(k) \circ g^{-1} = T_{g\xi}(k) \quad (g \in G).$$

The counterpart of the usual Laplacian is the Dunkl Laplacian, defined by

$$\Delta_k := \sum_{i=1}^N T_{\xi_i}(k)^2,$$

where $\{\xi_i\,, i = 1, \ldots, N\}$ is an arbitrary orthonormal basis of $(\mathbb{R}^N, \langle\,.\,,\,.\,\rangle)$, c.f. [6]. It is given explicitly by

$$\Delta_k f(x) \;=\; L_k f(x) - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) \frac{f(x) - f(\sigma_\alpha x)}{\langle \alpha, x\rangle^2},$$

with the singular elliptic operator

$$L_k f(x) := \Delta f(x) + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) \frac{\langle \nabla f(x), \alpha\rangle}{\langle \alpha, x\rangle}. \tag{2.1}$$

According to [7], there exists a unique, degree of homogeneity preserving linear isomorphism V_k on polynomials such that

$$T_\xi(k) V_k \;=\; V_k \partial_\xi \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \;\text{ and }\; V_k(1) = 1.$$

It is shown in [23] that V_k has a Laplace-type representation of the form

$$V_k f(x) \;=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\xi)\, d\mu_x^k(\xi) \tag{2.2}$$

with a unique probability measure $\mu_x^k \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ whose support is contained in

$$C(x) := \mathrm{co}\{gx, g \in G\},$$

the convex hull of the G-orbit of x in $\mathbb{R}^N$. By means of formula (2.2), V_k may be extended to various larger function spaces including $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We denote this extension by V_k again. In fact, V_k establishes a homeomorphism of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, see Theorem 4.6 of [16] or [28].

The Dunkl kernel asociated with G and k is defined by

$$E_k(x,y) = V_k(e^{\langle\,\cdot\,, y\rangle})(x) \;=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{\langle \xi, y\rangle}\, d\mu_x^k(\xi) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^N,\, y \in \mathbb{C}^N). \tag{2.3}$$

For fixed y, $E_k(\,.\,, y)$ is the unique real-analytic solution of (1.2), c.f. [20]. The kernel E_k is symmetric in its arguments and has a unique holomorphic extension to $\mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{C}^N$. Moreover,

$$E_k(\lambda z, w) = E_k(z, \lambda w) \quad \text{and } \; E_k(gz, gw) = E_k(z, w) \tag{2.4}$$

for all $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^N$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $g \in G$. Let w_k denote the G-invariant weight function (1.6). The associated Dunkl transform on $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N, w_k)$ is then defined by

$$\widehat{f}^{\,k}(\xi) := c_k^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x) E_k(-i\xi, x)\, w_k(x) dx \;\; (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N).$$

Here c_k denotes the Mehta-type constant

$$c_k := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-|x|^2/2} w_k(x) dx.$$

We shall also consider the Dunkl transform on the measure space $M_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\widehat{\mu}^{\,k}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} E_k(-i\xi, x) d\mu(x), \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N).$$

Many properties of the Euclidean Fourier transform carry over to the Dunkl transform. The results listed below can be found in [8], [15] and [24]:

Proposition 2.1. (1) *The Dunkl transform $f \mapsto \widehat{f}^{\,k}$ is a homeomorphism of $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

(2) *(L^1-inversion) If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N, w_k)$ with $\widehat{f}^{\,k} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N, w_k)$, then $f = (\widehat{f}^{\,k})^{\vee k}$ a.e. Its inverse is given by $f^{\vee k}(\xi) := \widehat{f}^{\,k}(-\xi)$.*

(3) *(Plancherel's Theorem) The Dunkl transform on $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ extends uniquely to an isometric isomorphism of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, w_k)$.*

(4) *The Dunkl transform is injective on $M_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

(5) *(Lévy's continuity theorem) Let $(\mu_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset M_b^+(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $(\widehat{\mu}_n^{\,k})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges pointwise to a function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}$ which is continuous at 0. Then there exists a unique measure $\mu \in M_b^+(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\widehat{\mu}^{\,k} = \varphi$, and $(\mu_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ tends weakly to μ.*

In [28], a generalized translation on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined by

$$\tau_y f(x) := V_k^x V_k^y (V_k^{-1} f)(x+y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{2.5}$$

Hereby the uppercase index denotes the relevant variable. Notice that

$$\tau_0 f = f,\ T_\xi(k)\tau_y f = \tau_y T_\xi(k) f \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_y f(x) = \tau_x f(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

We shall frequently use the more suggestive notation

$$f(x *_k y) := \tau_y f(x).$$

For $k = 0$, one just obtains the usual group translation on $\mathbb{R}^N$: $f(x *_0 y) = f(x+y)$. It is also immediate from the definition that

$$E_k(x *_k y, z) = E_k(x, z) E_k(y, z) \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}^N. \tag{2.6}$$

We collect some further properties of this translation which will be used later on; for the proofs, the reader is referred to [28].

Lemma 2.2. (1) *For fixed $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, τ_y is a continuous linear mapping from $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ into $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

(2) *For fixed $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the mapping $f \mapsto f(x *_k y)$ defines a compactly supported distribution. Its support is contained in the ball $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N : |\xi| \le |x| + |y|\}$.*

(3) *If $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then also $\tau_y f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and $(\tau_y f)^{\wedge k}(\xi) = E_k(iy, \xi)\, \widehat{f}^{\,k}(\xi)$. Moreover,*

$$\tau_y f(x) = \frac{1}{c_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \widehat{f}^{\,k}(\xi)\, E_k(ix, \xi) E_k(iy, \xi)\, w_k(\xi) d\xi.$$

(4) *If $f, g \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x *_k y) g(y) w_k(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(y) g(-x *_k y) w_k(y) dy\,.$$

Remarks 2.3. Property (3) reveals that on $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the translation (2.5) coincides with the version previously introduced in [22]. In [28], part (4) is shown only for compactly supported test functions; a simple density argument gives the result for Schwartz functions. Alternatively, (4) follows immediatley from (3) and the Plancherel theorem for the Dunkl transform.

2.2. **Expansion of E_k in terms of k-spherical harmonics.** In this section, we derive a series representation for the Dunkl kernel in terms of generalized spherical ("k-spherical") harmonics, which will be employed in the positivity proof for the Dunkl-type spherical mean operator. For a background in k-spherical harmonics, the reader may consult the recent monograph [10]. Throughout this section it is assumed that $N \geq 2$. The space of k-spherical harmonics of degree $n \geq 0$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_n^k = \ker\Delta_k \cap \mathcal{P}_n^N\,,$$

where Δ_k is the Dunkl Laplacian and $\mathcal{P}_n^N$ denotes the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree n on $\mathbb{R}^N$. The space $\mathcal{H}_n^k$ has a reproducing kernel $P_n^k(\,.\,,\,.\,)$, which is defined by the property

$$f(x) \,=\, d_k^{-1}\int_{S^{N-1}} f(y)P_n^k(x,y)w_k(y)d\sigma(y) \quad \text{ for all } \, f\in\mathcal{H}_n^k \quad \text{and } \, |x|<1.$$

Here $S^{N-1}=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^N : |x|=1\}$ is the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $d\sigma$ denotes the Lebesgue surface measure and

$$d_k=\int_{S^{N-1}} w_k(x)d\sigma(x) \,=\, \frac{c_k}{2^\lambda\Gamma(\lambda+1)},$$

with

$$\lambda=\gamma+N/2-1\geq 0.$$

Suppose that $\{Y_{n,j} : j=1,\ldots,d(n,N)\}$, is a real-coefficient orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}_n^k$ in $L^2(S^{N-1},d_k^{-1}w_k d\sigma)$. In terms of this basis, P_n^k is given by

$$P_n^k(x,y) \,=\, \sum_{j=1}^{d(n,N)} Y_{n,j}(x)Y_{n,j}(y)\,.$$

If $x,y\in S^{N-1}$ then, according to Theorem 3.2 of [30], the kernel $P_n^k(x,y)$ can be written as

$$P_n^k(x,y) \,=\, \frac{(n+\lambda)(2\lambda)_n}{\lambda\cdot n!}\,V_k\widetilde{C}_n^\lambda(\langle x,\,.\,\rangle)(y), \tag{2.7}$$

where the $\widetilde{C}_n^\lambda\,(n\in\mathbb{Z}_+)$ are the (renormalized) Gegenbauer polynomials

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{C}_n^\lambda(x) \,&=\frac{(-1)^n}{2^n(\lambda+1/2)_n}(1-x^2)^{1/2-\lambda}\frac{d^n}{dx^n}(1-x^2)^{n+\lambda-1/2}\\ &=\,{}_2F_1\big(-n,n+2\lambda,\lambda+1/2;\frac{1-x}{2}\big).\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Notice that in terms of this normalization, formula (2.7) remains true in the limiting case $\lambda=0$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $N\geq 2$. Then for all $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^N$ the Dunkl kernel $E_k(ix,y)$ admits the representation*

$$E_k(ix,y) \,=\, \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{2^n\Gamma(n+\lambda+1)}j_{n+\lambda}(|x||y|)\,P_n^k(ix,y), \tag{2.9}$$

the convergence of the series being uniform on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$.

Proof. By Gegenbauer's degenerate form of the addition theorem for Bessel functions ([29], p. 368), we have

$$e^{irt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \big(\frac{ir}{2}\big)^n \frac{(2\lambda)_n}{(\lambda)_n\, n!}\, j_{n+\lambda}(r)\widetilde{C}_n^{\lambda}(t) \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathbb{R},\, t \in [-1,1] \tag{2.10}$$

(With the obvious extension to the case $\lambda = 0$). The series converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R} \times [-1,1]$. This is easily seen from the asymptotic behavior of the Gamma function and the estimates

$$|j_{n+\lambda}(r)| \le 1, \qquad |\widetilde{C}_n^{\lambda}(t)| \le \widetilde{C}_n^{\lambda}(1) = 1$$

which hold within the relevant ranges of r and t. We now put $t = \langle x, y\rangle$ with $x, y \in S^{N-1}$, and apply the intertwining operator V_k to both sides of (2.10). This may be done termwise, the locally uniform convergence being maintained: in fact, according to (2.3) together with (2.10) and (2.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_k(irx,y) &= V_k(e^{ir\langle x,\,.\,\rangle})(y) = \int_{|\eta|\le 1} e^{ir\langle x,\eta\rangle} d\mu_y^k(\eta) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2\lambda)_n}{(\lambda)_n\, n!} \big(\frac{ir}{2}\big)^n j_{n+\lambda}(r)\, V_k\widetilde{C}_n^{\lambda}(\langle x,\,.\,\rangle)(y) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{2^n\Gamma(n+\lambda+1)}\, j_{n+\lambda}(r) P_n^k(irx,y)\,. \end{aligned}$$

As $|V_k\widetilde{C}_n^{\lambda}(\langle x,\,.\,\rangle)(y)| \le \widetilde{C}_n^{\lambda}(1) = 1$ for all $x, y \in S^{N-1}$, the series converges uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R} \times S^{N-1} \times S^{N-1}$. This implies the assertion. □

In view of the orthogonality of the k-spherical harmonics $Y_{n,j}$, termwise spherical integration of (2.9) leads to the following well-known special case of the Dunkl-type Funk-Hecke formula (Theorem 5.3.4 of [10]):

Corollary 2.5. *Let* $N \ge 2$. *Then for all* $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$\frac{1}{d_k}\int_{S^{N-1}} E_k(ix,y) Y_{n,j}(y) w_k(y) d\sigma(y) = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{2^n\Gamma(n+\lambda+1)} j_{n+\lambda}(|x|) Y_{n,j}(ix)\,.$$

2.3. **The rank-one case.** Here the reflection group is $G = \{id, \sigma\}$, acting on $\mathbb{R}$ via $\sigma(x) = -x$. The corresponding kernel E_k with parameter $k \ge 0$ has been calculated in [9]. It is given by

$$E_k(z,w) = j_{k-1/2}(izw) + \frac{zw}{2k+1}\, j_{k+1/2}(izw)$$

with the normalized spherical Bessel function

$$j_\alpha(z) = \Gamma(\alpha+1)\cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (z/2)^{2n}}{n!\,\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}\,.$$

Thus $J_k(z,w) = j_{k-1/2}(izw)$. It is well-known (see e.g. [5], 3.5.61) that the Bessel functions j_α with $\alpha \ge -1/2$ satisfy a product formula of the form

$$j_\alpha(xz) j_\alpha(yz) = \int_0^{\infty} j_\alpha(\xi z) d\nu_{x,y}^{\alpha}(\xi) \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{2.11}$$

Here the $\nu^{\alpha}_{x,y}$ are probability measures on $\mathbb{R}_+$. For $x, y > 0$, they are given by

$$d\nu^{\alpha}_{x,y}(z) \;=\; m_{\alpha}(x,y,z)z^{2\alpha+1}$$

with the kernel

$$m_{\alpha}(x,y,z) \;=\; \frac{2^{1-2\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma(\alpha+12)}\cdot\frac{[(z^2-(x-y)^2)((x+y)^2-z^2)]^{\alpha-1/2}}{(xyz)^{2\alpha}}\cdot 1_{[|x-y|,x+y]}(z).$$

The product formula (2.11) induces a convolution of point measures on $\mathbb{R}_+$ according to

$$\delta_x \circ_{\alpha} \delta_y := \nu^{\alpha}_{x,y}\,,$$

which in turn allows a unique bilinear and weakly continuous extension to a probability preserving convolution on $M_b(\mathbb{R}_+)$. It induces the structure of a commutative hypergroup on $\mathbb{R}_+$, called the Bessel-Kingman hypergroup of index α, c.f [5]. The Dunkl kernel E_k itself satisfies a product formula of type (1.4), see [21] and also [25]. Here the representing measures $\mu^k_{x,y}$ are not positive, but signed Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}$ with uniformly bounded total variation norm. For $x, y \neq 0$, one has

$$d\mu^k_{x,y}(z) \;=\; m_{k-1/2}(|x|,|y|,|z|)|z|^{2k}\cdot\frac{1-\sigma_{x,y,z}+\sigma_{z,x,y}+\sigma_{z,y,x}}{2},$$

with

$$\sigma_{z,x,t} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{z^2+x^2-t^2}{2zx} & \text{if } z,x \neq 0,\\ 0 & \text{else.}\end{cases}$$

There further exists a unique bilinear and separately weakly continuous convolution $*_k$ on $M_b(\mathbb{R})$ such that the convolution of point measures satisfies $\delta_x *_k \delta_y = \mu^k_{x,y}$. This convolution makes $M_b(\mathbb{R})$ into a commutative Banach-$*$-algebra with unit δ_0, involution $\mu^*(A) = \overline{\mu(-A)}$ and norm $\|\mu\|' = \|L_\mu\|$, the operator L_μ on $M_b(\mathbb{R})$ being defined by $L_\mu(\nu) = \mu *_k \nu$. The Gelfand transform on $M_b(\mathbb{R})$ coincides with the corresponding Dunkl transform. For details, see [21]. Finally, the convolution $*_k$ matches the Dunkl-type generalized translation as defined above. In fact, Lemma 2.2 shows that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f\, d(\delta_x *_k \delta_y) = f(x *_k y)$ for all $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and hence, by a simple density argument, also for all $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

3. Positivity of the spherical mean operator

Following [19], we define the Dunkl-type spherical mean operator $f \mapsto M_f$ on $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by

$$M_f(x,t) := \frac{1}{d_k}\int_{S^{N-1}} f(x *_k ty)w_k(y)d\sigma(y), \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^N,\, t \geq 0).$$

In case $N = 1$, this reduces to

$$M_f(x,t) \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\big(f(x *_k t) + f(x *_k -t)\big).$$

Lemma 2.2 easily implies that $M_f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_+)$. The following is the key result of this paper:

Theorem 3.1. *The spherical mean operator $f \mapsto M_f$ is positivity-preserving on $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, i.e.*

$$f \geq 0 \;\; \textit{on} \;\; \mathbb{R}^N \quad \textit{implies that} \quad M_f \geq 0 \;\; \textit{on} \;\; \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_+\,.$$

Remark 3.2. The assertion is obvious in the rank-one case: In fact, M_f can then be calculated explicitly in terms of the data given in Section 2.3. We have

$$M_f(x,t) \,=\, \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) d\sigma^k_{x,t}(z)$$

with

$$\sigma^k_{x,t} \,=\, \frac{1}{2}(\mu^k_{x,t} + \mu^k_{x,-t}),$$

which is easily checked to be a probability measure.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 in the higher rank case is achieved by a reduction to initial data of the form $f(x) = \Gamma_k(s,x,y)$, where Γ_k is the Dunkl type heat kernel

$$\Gamma_k(s,x,y) = \frac{1}{(2s)^{\gamma+N/2}c_k} e^{-(|x|^2+|y|^2)/4s} E_k\big(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2s}}\,,\frac{y}{\sqrt{2s}}\big) \tag{3.1}$$

($x,y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $s>0$), see [22]. (Notice that the constant c_k was there differently defined). We recall from [22] that Γ_k is strictly positive with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Gamma_k(s,x,y) w_k(y) dy = 1.$$

Moreover,

$$\Gamma_k(s,x,y) = \frac{1}{c_k^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-s|\xi|^2} E_k(-ix,\xi) E_k(iy,\xi) w_k(\xi) d\xi\,. \tag{3.2}$$

Lemma 3.3. (1) *For all $x,y,z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $s>0$,*

$$\Gamma_k(s, x *_k y, z) \,=\, \frac{1}{c_k^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-s|\xi|^2} E_k(-iz,\xi) E_k(ix,\xi) E_k(iy,\xi) w_k(\xi) d\xi.$$

In particular, $\Gamma_k(s, x*_k y, z)$ belongs to $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as a function of each of the arguments x,y,z.

(2) $\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Gamma_k(s, x*_k y, z) w_k(z) dz \,=\, 1.$

(3) *If $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_+$, then*

$$M_f(x,t) = \lim_{s\downarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} M_{\Gamma_k(s,\,.\,,z)}(x,t)\, f(z) w_k(z) dz.$$

Proof. (1) By the inversion theorem for the Dunkl transform, formula (3.2) is equivalent to

$$\Gamma_k(s,\,.\,,z)^{\wedge k}(\xi) = c_k^{-1} e^{-s|\xi|^2} E_k(-iz,\xi).$$

Lemma 2.2(3) thus implies the stated identity. The rest follows form the invariance of $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ under the Dunkl transform.

(2) In view of (1), we write

$$\Gamma_k(s, x*_k y, z) = \widehat{g_{x,y}}^{\,k}(z), \qquad \text{with } \; g_{x,y}(\xi) := \frac{1}{c_k} e^{-s|\xi|^2} E_k(ix,\xi) E_k(iy,\xi).$$

This gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Gamma_k(s, x*_k y, z) w_k(z) dz \,=\, \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \widehat{g_{x,y}}^{\,k}(z) w_k(z) dz \,=\, c_k g_{x,y}(0) \,=\, 1.$$

(3) By (1) and the definition of the Dunkl transform, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} M_{\Gamma_k(s,\,.\,,z)}(x,t)f(z)w_k(z)dz\\ &\quad=\frac{1}{d_k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\Big(\int_{S^{N-1}}\Gamma_k(s,x*_k ty,z)(x,r)w_k(y)d\sigma(y)\Big)f(z)w_k(z)dz\\ &\quad=\frac{1}{c_k d_k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\int_{S^{N-1}} e^{-s|\xi|^2}\,\widehat{f}^{\,k}(\xi)E_k(ix,\xi)E_k(ity,\xi)w_k(y)d\sigma(y)w_k(\xi)d\xi.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{s\downarrow 0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} M_{\Gamma_k(s,\,.\,,z)}(x,t)f(z)w_k(z)dz\\ &\qquad=\frac{1}{c_k d_k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\int_{S^{N-1}}\widehat{f}^{\,k}(\xi)E_k(ix,\xi)E_k(ity,\xi)w_k(y)d\sigma(y)w_k(\xi)d\xi\\ &\qquad=\frac{1}{d_k}\int_{S^{N-1}} f(x*_k ty)w_k(y)d\sigma(y)\;=\;M_f(x,t).\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.4. A similar calculation shows that for $f\in\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^N$,

$$f(x*_k y)\;=\lim_{s\downarrow 0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(z)\Gamma_k(s,x*_k y,z)w_k(z)dz.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We may assume that $N\geq 2$ and $\gamma>0$, hence also $\lambda>0$. Moreover, it suffices to prove the result for $f\in\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, because $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $M_f(x,t)$ depends continuously on f as a consequence of Lemma 2.2(2). Thus, by part (3) of Lemma 3.3, it remains to show that

$$M_{\Gamma_k(s,\,.\,,z)}(x,t)\;>0\quad\text{for all }\;s\geq 0,\,z\in\mathbb{R}^N\text{ and all }\;t\geq 0,\,x\in\mathbb{R}^N. \tag{3.3}$$

Let us write $M(x,t):=M_{\Gamma_k(s,\,.\,,z)}(x,t)$ for brevity. Invoking part (1) of Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 2.5 leads to

$$\begin{aligned}M(x,t)&=\frac{1}{d_k}\int_{S^{N-1}}\Gamma_k(s,x*_k ty,z)w_k(y)d\sigma(y)\\ &=\frac{1}{c_k^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-s|\xi|^2}E_k(-iz,\xi)E_k(ix,\xi)\,j_\lambda(t|\xi|)\,w_k(\xi)d\xi\\ &=\frac{d_k}{c_k^2}\int_0^\infty I(x,z,r)\,e^{-sr^2}j_\lambda(tr)r^{2\lambda+1}dr,\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

with

$$I(x,z,r)\;=\;\frac{1}{d_k}\int_{S^{N-1}} E_k(ix,r\xi)E_k(-iz,r\xi)w_k(\xi)d\sigma(\xi). \tag{3.5}$$

This integral has to be brought in a form from which the positivity of $M(x,t)$ can be read off. For this, we insert the series expansion (2.9) for $E_k(ix, r\xi)$, thus obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} I(x,z,r) &= \frac{1}{d_k}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{2^n\,\Gamma(n+\lambda+1)}j_{n+\lambda}(r|x|)\cdot \\ &\qquad\qquad \cdot\int_{S^{N-1}} P_n^k(irx,\xi)E_k(-irz,\xi)w_k(\xi)d\sigma(\xi) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big(\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{2^n\,\Gamma(n+\lambda+1)}\Big)^2 j_{n+\lambda}(r|x|)j_{n+\lambda}(r|z|)P_n^k(irx,-irz), \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where for the second identity, again Corollary 2.5 was used. In case $x, z \neq 0$, the homogeneity of P_n^k allows one to write

$$P_n^k(irx,-irz) \;=\; \frac{(n+\lambda)(2\lambda)_n}{\lambda\cdot n!}(r^2|x||z|)^n\, V_k\widetilde{C}_n^{\lambda}\Big(\Big\langle\frac{x}{|x|},\,.\,\Big\rangle\Big)\Big(\frac{z}{|z|}\Big). \tag{3.7}$$

We now employ a well-known degenerate version of the addition theorem for Gegenbauer polynomials (see [2], (4.36)): For all $s,t,\theta\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &j_\lambda\big(\sqrt{s^2+t^2-2st\cos\theta}\,\big) \\ &\quad=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(n+\lambda)(2\lambda)_n}{\lambda\cdot n!}\Big(\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{2^n\,\Gamma(n+\lambda+1)}\Big)^2(st)^n j_{n+\lambda}(s)j_{n+\lambda}(t)\,\widetilde{C}_n^{\lambda}(\cos\theta). \end{aligned}$$

This series converges uniformly with respect to $\theta\in\mathbb{R}$. Combining (3.6) and (3.7) and recalling the Laplace representation (2.2) for V_k, we now see that for all $x, z\neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} I(x,z,r) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} j_\lambda\left(r\sqrt{|x|^2+|z|^2-2|z|\langle x,\eta\rangle}\,\right)d\mu^k_{z/|z|}(\eta) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} j_\lambda\left(r\sqrt{|x|^2+|z|^2-2\langle x,\eta\rangle}\,\right)d\mu^k_{z}(\eta); \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

The second identity follows from the dilation equivariance of μ_z^k: $\mu^k_{rz}(A)=\mu^k_z(r^{-1}A)$ for all $r>0$, $A\in\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, c.f. [23]. By virtue of Corollary 2.5, (3.8) remains true if $x=0$ or $z=0$. For abbreviation, put

$$v_z(\eta) := \sqrt{|x|^2+|z|^2-2\langle x,\eta\rangle}.$$

Then by (3.4), the product formula (2.11) for the Bessel functions j_λ and eq. 11.4.29 of [1], we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} M(x,t) &= \frac{d_k}{c_k^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\int_0^\infty j_\lambda(rv_z(\eta))\,j_\lambda(rt)\,e^{-sr^2}r^{2\lambda+1}dr\,d\mu_z^k(\eta) \\ &= \frac{d_k}{c_k^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\int_0^\infty\Big(\int_0^\infty j_\lambda(ru)e^{-sr^2}r^{2\lambda+1}dr\Big)\,d\nu^\lambda_{v_z(\eta),t}(u)\,d\mu_z^k(\eta) \\ &= \frac{d_k}{c_k^2}\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{2s^{\lambda+1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\Big(\int_0^\infty e^{-u^2/4s}d\nu^\lambda_{v_z(\eta),t}(u)\Big)d\mu_z^k(\eta), \end{aligned}$$

which is obviously non-negative. This finishes the proof. □

4. A positive radial product formula for the Dunkl kernel

4.1. **Statement of the main result.** Consider $f(x) = E_k(ix, z)$ with $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Then

$$M_f(x,t) = E_k(ix,z) j_\lambda(t|z|). \tag{4.1}$$

Indeed, we have

$$\frac{1}{d_k}\int_{S^{N-1}} E_k(ix,z) w_k(z) d\sigma(z) \;=\; j_\lambda(|x|),$$

which follows from Corollary 2.5 if $N \geq 2$ and is obviously also true if $N = 1$. In view of (2.6), this gives (4.1). Theorem 3.1 easily implies the existence of the representing measures stated in the next theorem, which is the main result of this paper:

Theorem 4.1. *For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ there exists a unique compactly supported probability measure $\sigma^k_{x,t} \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that*

$$E_k(ix,y)\, j_\lambda(t|y|) \;=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} E_k(i\xi, y) d\sigma^k_{x,t}(\xi) \quad \textit{for all } \; y \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{4.2}$$

It represents the spherical mean operator $f \mapsto M_f$ in the sense that

$$M_f(x,t) \;=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f \, d\sigma^k_{x,t} \quad \textit{for all } \; f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{4.3}$$

The measure $\sigma^k_{x,t}$ satisfies

$$\textit{supp}\, \sigma^k_{x,t} \subseteq \bigcup_{g\in G} \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N : |\xi - gx| \leq t\},$$

and the mapping $(x,t) \mapsto \sigma^k_{x,t}$ is continuous with respect to the weak topology on $M^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover,

$$\sigma^k_{gx,t}(A) = \sigma^k_{x,t}(g^{-1}(A)) \quad \textit{and } \; \sigma^k_{rx,rt}(A) = \sigma^k_{x,t}(r^{-1}A)$$

for all $g \in G, r > 0$, and all Borel sets $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Remarks 4.2.

1. The above result also gives a natural extension of the spherical mean operator at hand: namely for $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we may define $M_f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ by
$$M_f(x,t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f d\sigma^k_{x,t}\,.$$
2. In the rank-one case, the support of $\sigma^k_{x,t}$ with $t \neq 0$ is given by
$$\operatorname{supp} \sigma^k_{x,t} \;=\; \big[-|x| - t, -||x| - t|\big] \cup \big[||x| - t|, |x| + t\big].$$
This illustrates that the complete G-orbit of x has to be taken into account in the description of $\operatorname{supp} \sigma^k_{x,t}$.
3. From part (2) of Lemma 2.2 a weaker statement on the support of $\sigma^k_{x,t}$ is immediate, namely $\operatorname{supp} \sigma^k_{x,t} \subseteq \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N : |\xi| \leq |x| + t\}$.

In order to derive the stated properties of the support of $\sigma^k_{x,t}$ we use an approach via a Darboux type initial value problem satisfied by the spherical mean M_f. For $\alpha \geq -1/2$, denote by A_α the singular Sturm-Liouville operator

$$A_\alpha \;:=\; \partial_t^2 + \frac{2\alpha+1}{t}\partial_t$$

on $\mathbb{R}_+$. The Bessel functions $t \mapsto j_\alpha(tz)$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, are (up to normalization) the unique even and analytic eigenfunctions of this operator, satisfying

$$A^t_\alpha j_\alpha(tz) \,=\, -z^2 j_\alpha(tz);$$

here the uppercase index indicates the relevant variable.

For $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we may write

$$M_f(x,t) = \frac{1}{c_k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \widehat{f}^{\,k}(\xi) E_k(x,i\xi) j_\lambda(t|\xi|) w_k(\xi) d\xi. \tag{4.4}$$

In this case, a direct calculation verifies that $u = M_f$ solves the following initial value problem for the Darboux-type differential-reflection operator $\Delta^x_k - A^t_\lambda$:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\Delta^x_k - A^t_\lambda)u \,=\, 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_+\,; \\ &u(x,0) = f(x),\ u_t(x,0) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

(To obtain the initial data, one has to use the inversion theorem for the Dunkl transform as well as the fact that M_f is even with respect to t). In [19], Prop. 5.2 it is shown that for arbitrary $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, M_f is in fact the unique C^∞-solution of (4.5). Instead of studying the domain of dependence for the above Darboux-type operator directly, we shall consider the corresponding reflection invariant wave operator, which is easier to handle:

4.2. **Domain of dependence for wave operators related with reflection groups.** This section is devoted to the study of the Dunkl-type wave operator $L_k - \partial_t^2$, where L_k denotes the reflection invariant part of the Dunkl Laplacian Δ_k, c.f. (2.1). Notice that in the rank-one case, L_k coincides with the Sturm-Liouville operator $A_{k-1/2}$. It will be important for the following that L_k can be written in divergence form,

$$L_k \,=\, \frac{1}{w_k(x)} \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_{x_i}\big(w_k(x)\partial_{x_i}\big). \tag{4.6}$$

Let us now turn to the Dunkl-type wave equation on $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_+$ associated with G and k,

$$(L_k - \partial_t^2)u \,=\, 0. \tag{4.7}$$

The following uniqueness result for solutions of this equation is in close analogy to well-known facts in the classical case $k = 0$.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that u is a real-valued C^2-solution of (4.7), given in the truncated cone*

$$C(x_0,t_0) = \{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_+ \,:\, |x - x_0| \le t_0 - t\}.$$

Define the energy of u at time t within this cone by

$$E(t) := \frac{1}{2}\int_{B_t} \big(u_t^2 + |\nabla_x u(x,t)|^2\big) w_k(x) dx,$$

where the integration is taken over the level set

$$B_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x - x_0| \le t_0 - t\}.$$

Then $E' \le 0$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$.

Proof. The chain rule gives

$$E'(t) \;=\; I(t) - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial B_t} \big(u_t(x,t)^2 + |\nabla_x u(x,t)|^2\big) w_k(x)dx$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I(t) &= \int_{B_t} \big(u_t(x,t)u_{tt}(x,t) + \sum_j u_{x_j}(x,t)u_{t,x_j}(x,t)\big) w_k(x)dx \\ &= \int_{B_t} \big(u_{tt}(x,t) - L_k^x u(x,t)\big) u_t(x,t) w_k(x)dx \\ &\qquad + \int_{B_t} \sum_{j=1}^N \big(u_t(x,t)u_{x_j}(x,t)w_k(x)\big)_{x_j} dx \\ &= \int_{\partial B_t} u_t(x,t)\, \partial_\nu u(x,t)\, w_k(x) d\sigma(x), \end{aligned}$$

where the second identity follows from (4.6) and the last one from the divergence theorem (ν is the exterior unit normal to B_t and $d\sigma$ the Lebesgue surface measure on ∂B_t). By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$u_t \partial_\nu u \;\le\; \frac{1}{2}\big(u_t^2 + |\nabla_x u|^2\big).$$

This implies the assertion. □

The following uniqueness result is an immediate consequence:

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose that u is a C^2-solution of the wave equation $(L_k - \partial_t^2)u = 0$, defined in the cone $C(x_0,t_0)$ and satisfying*

$$u_t(x,0) = u(x,0) = 0 \quad \textit{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \textit{ with } |x - x_0| \le t_0.$$

Then u vanishes in $C(x_0,t_0)$.

Proof. We may assume that u is real-valued. As $E(t) \ge 0$ and $E(0) = 0$, the lemma shows that $E(t) = 0$ for $0 \le t \le t_0$, and hence $u_t = 0$ and $\nabla_x u = 0$ in $C(x_0,t_0)$. This implies the assertion. □

We mention that there exists a thorough study of wave operators (and more general hyperbolic operators) related with root systems especially in the case of integer-valued multiplicity functions, see [3] and the references cited there. In particular, for integer-valued k and odd dimensions N satisfying $N \ge 2\gamma + 3$, the wave operator $L_k - \partial_t^2$ in fact satisfies the strong Huygens principle. This means that a solution at (x_0,t_0) depends only on the data in an infinitesimal neighborhood of the surface of the propagation cone with vertex at (x_0,t_0).

4.3. **Proof of the main result.** For the proof of the properties of the representing measures in Theorem 4.1, we have to relate the Darboux-type equation (4.5) with the hyperbolic equation (4.7). For this, we involve the Riemann-Liouville transform with parameter $\alpha > -1/2$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$. It is given by

$$\mathcal{R}_\alpha f(t) \;=\; \frac{2\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma(\alpha+1/2)} \int_0^1 f(st)(1-s^2)^{\alpha-1/2} ds \tag{4.8}$$

for $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$, see [27]. The operator $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$ satisfies the intertwining property

$$A_\alpha \mathcal{R}_\alpha \,=\, \mathcal{R}_\alpha \,\frac{d^2}{dt^2}.$$

Notice that the rank-one intertwining operator V_k, when restricted to even functions, just coincides with $\mathcal{R}_{k-1/2}$. According to [27], $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$ is a topological isomorphism of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with respect to the usual Fréchet-topology, which is induced from the identification of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with $\{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) : f(-t) = f(t)\}$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. For fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $t \geq 0$, consider the linear functional

$$\Phi_{x,t} :\, f \mapsto M_f(x,t),$$

which is positivity-preserving on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ according to Theorem 3.1. Moreover, $\Phi_{x,t}(1) = 1$. It follows that $\Phi_{x,t}$ is represented by a compactly supported probability measure $\sigma^k_{x,t} \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (c.f. Theorem 2.1.7 of [13]). This implies statement (4.3) and also (4.2) in view of relation (4.1). Notice that

$$E_k(ix,z) j_\lambda(t|z|) \,=\, (\sigma^k_{x,t})^{\wedge_k}(-z). \tag{4.9}$$

Thus the uniqueness of $\sigma^k_{x,t}$ follows from the injectivity of the Dunkl transform on $M^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, c.f. Propos. 2.1. In order to check the weak continuity of $(x,t) \mapsto \sigma^k_{x,t}$, take a sequence $(x_n,t_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty}(x_n,t_n) = (x_0,t_0)$. Then (4.9) implies that $(\sigma^k_{x_n,t_n})^{\wedge_k} \to (\sigma^k_{x_n,t_n})^{\wedge_k}$ pointwise on $\mathbb{R}^N$. Lévy's continuity theorem for the Dunkl transform (Lemma 2.1 (5)) now yields that the $\sigma^k_{x_n,t_n}$ converge weakly to $\sigma^k_{x_0,t_0}$. Further, the claimed transformation properties of $\sigma^k_{x,t}$ are immediate consequences of the invariance properties (2.4) of the kernel E_k. It remains to analyse the support of $\sigma^k_{x,t}$. For this, we shall employ Theorem 4.4. We therefore first reduce the general situation to the group-invariant case. We introduce the group means

$$\widetilde{\sigma}^k_{x,t} \,:=\, \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G} \sigma^k_{gx,t} \,=\, \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G} \sigma^k_{x,t}\circ g^{-1}.$$

As $\sigma^k_{x,t}$ is positive, it is enough to show that $\widetilde{\sigma}^k_{x,t}$ is supported in

$$K(x,t) := \bigcup_{g\in G}\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N : |\xi - gx| \leq t\},$$

as stated for $\sigma^k_{x,t}$. For this in turn, it suffices to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f\, d\widetilde{\sigma}^k_{x,t} \,= 0$$

for all G-invariant $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ whose support does not intersect $K(x,t)$. So suppose that $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is G-invariant. Then according to Prop. 2.4. of [24], $\widehat{f}^k$ is also G-invariant, and (4.4) shows that $x \mapsto M_f(x,t)$ is G-invariant as well. Put $u_f(x,t) := (\mathcal{R}^t_\lambda)^{-1} M_f(x,t)$, which is still G-invariant with respect to x. According to (4.5) and the intertwining property of the Riemann-Liouville transform, $u = u_f$ belongs to $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ and solves the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} &(L^x_k - \partial_t^2)u \,=\, 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_+;\\ &u(x,0) = f(x),\ u_t(x,0) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Now suppose in addition that $\operatorname{supp} f \cap K(x,t) = \emptyset$. Then Theorem 4.4 implies that $u_f(x,s) = 0$ for all $0 \le s \le t$. From the explicit form (4.8) of the Riemann-Liouville transform $\mathcal{R}_\lambda$ we further deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f \, d\widetilde{\sigma}^k_{x,t} \;=\; M_f(x,t) \;=\; \mathcal{R}^t_\lambda u_f(x,t) \;=\; 0$$

as claimed. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1. □

5. Positive translation of radial functions

In this section, we derive a slightly weaker variant of Theorem 4.1. For its formulation, we introduce some additional notation: If $\mathcal{F}$ is a space of $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}^N$, denote by

$$\mathcal{F}_{rad} := \{f \in \mathcal{F} : f \circ A = f \quad \text{for all } A \in O(N,\mathbb{R})\}$$

the subspace of those $f \in \mathcal{F}$ which are radial. For $f \in \mathcal{F}_{rad}$ there exists a unique function $\widetilde{f} : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(x) = \widetilde{f}(|x|)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Similar, if $\mathcal{M}$ is a space of Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}^N$, then

$$\mathcal{M}_{rad} := \{\mu \in \mathcal{M} : \mu \circ A = \mu \quad \text{for all } A \in O(N,\mathbb{R})\}$$

denotes the subspace of radial measures from $\mathcal{M}$.

Theorem 5.1. *For each $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ there exists a unique compactly supported, radial probability measure $\rho^k_{x,y} \in M^1_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that for all $f \in C^\infty_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,*

$$f(x *_k y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f d\rho^k_{x,y}\,. \tag{5.1}$$

The support of $\rho^k_{x,y}$ is contained in

$$\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N : \min_{g\in G} |x+gy| \le |\xi| \le \max_{g\in G} |x+gy|\}.$$

In particular, if $0 \in \operatorname{supp} \rho^k_{x,y}$, then the G-orbits of x and $-y$ coincide.

Proof. Take $f \in \mathscr{S}_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then according to Prop 2.4. of [24], $\widehat{f}^{\,k} \in \mathscr{S}_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\widehat{f}^{\,k}(\xi) = \mathcal{H}^\lambda(\widetilde{f})(|\xi|)$. Here $\mathcal{H}^\lambda$ stands for the Hankel transform of index λ on $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, r^{2\lambda+1}dr)$, given by

$$\mathcal{H}^\lambda(g)(s) = \frac{1}{2^\lambda \Gamma(\lambda+1)} \int_0^\infty g(r) j_\lambda(rs) r^{2\lambda+1} dr.$$

Employing Lemma 2.2 (3), relations (3.5), (3.8) as well as the inversion theorem for the Hankel transform, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x *_k y) &= \frac{1}{c_k} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}^\lambda(\widetilde{f})(r) \int_{S^{N-1}} E_k(ix, r\xi) E_k(iy, r\xi) w_k(\xi) d\sigma(\xi)\, r^{2\lambda+1} dr \\
&= \frac{d_k}{c_k} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}^\lambda(\widetilde{f})(r) I(-x, y, r) r^{2\lambda+1} dr \\
&= \frac{1}{2^\lambda \Gamma(\lambda+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}^\lambda(\widetilde{f})(r) j_\lambda(r\sqrt{|x|^2+|y|^2+2\langle x,\eta\rangle}) r^{2\lambda+1} dr\, d\mu^k_y(\eta) \\
&= \int_{C(y)} \widetilde{f}\big(\sqrt{|x|^2+|y|^2+2\langle x,\eta\rangle}\big) d\mu^k_y(\eta);
\end{aligned}$$

here for the second identity, it was used that $I(x,-y,r) = I(-x,y,r)$. This shows that $f(x *_k y) \geq 0$ if $f \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^N$. As μ_y^k is a compactly supported probability measure, it also follows that

$$\sup\{|f(x *_k y)| : f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^N), \|f\|_\infty \leq 1\} = 1.$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1, we proceed by considering the linear functional $\Psi_{x,y} : f \mapsto f(x *_k y)$, which is positive and bounded with norm $\|\Psi_{x,y}\| = 1$ on the dense subspace $\mathscr{S}_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of $(C_{0,rad}(\mathbb{R}^N), \|\,.\,\|_\infty)$. Its continuous extension to $C_{0,rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a probability measure $\rho_{x,y}^k \in M^1_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which satisfies (5.1). Finally, the statement concerning the support of $\rho_{x,y}^k$ is immediate from the implication

$$\eta \in C(y) \implies \min_{g\in G} |x+gy| \leq \sqrt{|x|^2+|y|^2+2\langle x,\eta\rangle} \leq \max_{g\in G} |x+gy|.$$

□

The information on the support of the measures $\rho_{x,y}^k$ can in turn be used to obtain a sharper statement on the supports of the representing measures for the spherical mean operator in Theorem 4.1:

Corollary 5.2. *The measures $\sigma_{x,t}^k$ from Theorem 4.1 satisfy*

$$supp\,\sigma_{x,t}^k \subseteq \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N : |\xi| \geq ||x|-t|\}.$$

In particular, if $0 \in supp\,\sigma_{x,t}^k$, then $|x| = t$.

Proof. Suppose in the contrary that $\operatorname{supp}\sigma_{x,t}^k \not\subseteq \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N : |\xi| \geq ||x|-t|\}$. Then there exists some $f \in \mathscr{S}_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $f \geq 0$,

$$\operatorname{supp} f \cap \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N : |\xi| \geq ||x|-t|\} = \emptyset, \tag{5.2}$$

and such that $M_f(x,t) > 0$. But then $\eta \mapsto f(x *_k t\eta)$ is not identically zero on S^{N-1}. In view of Theorem 5.1, this is a contradiction to (5.2). □

6. An application: Semigroups of k-invariant Markov kernels with radial distributions

There is a concept of homogeneity for Markov processes on $\mathbb{R}^N$, called k-invariance, which generalizes the classical notion of processes with independent, stationary increments to the Dunkl setting. This was introduced and studied in some detail in [24]. The most important example is a generalization of Brownian motion, with the transition probabilities given in terms of the generalized heat kernel Γ_k, c.f. example 6.8(1) below. A Dunkl-type Brownian motion is a Feller process and therfore admits a version with càdlàg-paths. This version gives, after symmetrization with respect to the underlying reflection group, a diffusion on the Weyl chambers. k-invariant Markov processes are constructed from semigroups of Markov kernels which are k-invariant in the following sense:

Definition 6.1. ([24]) A Markov kernel $P : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N) \to [0,1]$ is called k-invariant, if

$$P(x,\,.\,)^{\wedge k}(\xi) = P(0,\,.\,)^{\wedge k}(\xi) \cdot E_k(-ix,\xi) \quad \text{for all } x,\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Here $P(x,\,.\,)$ is regarded as a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^N$.

If $k = 0$, the k-invariant Markov kernels are exactly those which are translation invariant, which means that they satisfy $P(x+x_0, A+x_0) = P(x, A)$ for all $x, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and all $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Equivalently, the translation invariant Markov kernels (with $k = 0$) are those of the form $P(x, A) := \delta_x * \mu(A)$ with a probability measure $\mu \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$; here $*$ denotes the usual group convolution. If $k > 0$, then for given $\mu \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ there usually exists no k-invariant Markov kernel such that $P(0, .) = \mu$. This is due to the fact that the associated generalized translation on $\mathbb{R}^N$ cannot be expected to be probability-preserving (and is definitely not in the rank-one case).

However, our results allow us to define associated k-invariant Markov kernels for all measures $\mu \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which belong to the class

$$M_k^1(\mathbb{R}^N) := \{\mu \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : w_k^{-1}(x)d\mu(x) \text{ is radial.}\}$$

This leads to a considerable variety of k-invariant Markov processes beyond those discussed in [24]. Concerning the definition of $M_k^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, one should notice that for $\mu \in M_k^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the measure $w_k^{-1}d\mu$ need not be a Radon measure.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\mu \in M_k^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, there exists a unique probability measure $\delta_x *_k \mu \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that*

$$(\delta_x *_k \mu)(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x *_k y)d\mu(y) \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{6.1}$$

It satisfies

$$(\delta_x *_k \mu)(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} M_f(x, |y|)d\mu(y) \quad \text{for all } f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{6.2}$$

Proof. Recall first that $y \mapsto f(x *_k y)$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, whence the integral on the right side of (6.1) is well-defined. If $\mu \in M_k^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ then for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x *_k y)d\mu(y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{d_0}\Big(\int_{S^{N-1}} f(x *_k |y|\xi)w_k(|y|\xi)d\sigma(\xi)\Big)w_k^{-1}(y)d\mu(y)\\ &= \frac{d_k}{d_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} M_f(x, |y|)|y|^{2k}w_k^{-1}(y)d\mu(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} M_f(x, |y|)d\mu(y).\end{aligned}$$

This shows that the functional $f \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x *_k y)d\mu(y)$ extends uniquely to a positive bounded Borel measure $\delta_x *_k \mu \in M_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and that (6.2) is satisfied. As $M_f = 1$ for $f = 1$, $\delta_x *_k \mu$ is in fact a probability measure. □

Theorem 6.3. (i) *If $\mu \in M_k^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $P(x, A) := \delta_x *_k \mu(A)$ defines a k-invariant Markov kernel on $\mathbb{R}^N$ with $P(0, .) = \mu$.*

(ii) *Conversely, if P is a k-invariant Markov kernel with $P(0, .) \in M_k^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $P(x, .) = \delta_x *_k P(0, .)$.*

Proof. For (i), notice first that $\delta_0 *_k \mu = \mu$. Moreover, by the above proposition and equation (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta_x *_k \mu)^{\wedge k}(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} E_k(y, -i\xi)d(\delta_x *_k \mu)(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} M_{E_k(\,.\,, -i\xi)}(x, |y|)d\mu(y)\\ &= E_k(x, -i\xi)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} j_\lambda(|y||\xi|)d\mu(y).\end{aligned}$$

Specializing to $x = 0$, this gives

$$\widehat{\mu}^{\,k}(\xi) \;=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} j_\lambda(|y||\xi|)d\mu(y) \tag{6.3}$$

and thus

$$(\delta_x *_k \mu)^{\wedge k}(\xi) \;=\; E_k(-ix,\xi)\cdot \widehat{\mu}^{\,k}(\xi),$$

which proves that P is k-invariant. By Lévy's continuity theorem for the Dunkl transfrom, this also ensures that $x \mapsto \delta_x *_k \mu$ is continuous with respect to the weak topology on $M^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This easily implies that $x \mapsto P(x,A)$ is measurable for any $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Thus the proof of (i) is complete. Part (ii) follows immediately from the definition of k-invariance and the injectivity of the Dunkl transform. □

We also introduce a convolution product for measures from $M^1_k(\mathbb{R}^N)$:

Definition 6.4. Let $\mu,\, \nu \in M^1_k(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then $\mu *_k \nu \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined by

$$\mu *_k \nu(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \delta_x *_k \nu(f) d\mu(x) \;=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x *_k y) d\mu(x) d\nu(y), \quad f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Notice that

$$(\mu *_k \nu)^{\wedge k} = \widehat{\mu}^{\,k}\widehat{\nu}^{\,k} \quad \text{for all } \mu,\, \nu \in M^1_k(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{6.4}$$

There is a close relationship between $*_k$ on $M^1_k(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and the convolution $\circ_\lambda$ of the Bessel-Kingman hypergroup of index $\lambda = \gamma + N/2 - 1$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ (c.f. Section 2.3). We shall in particular obtain from this connection that $M^1_k(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is closed w.r.t. $*_k$. Let us start with some additional notation:

We denote by $p(\mu) \in M_b(\mathbb{R}_+)$ the image measure of $\mu \in M_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ under the mapping $x \mapsto |x|$, characterized by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(|x|) d\mu(x) \;=\; \int_0^\infty f(r) dp(\mu)(r) \quad \text{for all } f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

Further, we write $\mathcal{H}^\lambda$ for the Hankel transform of index λ on $M_b(\mathbb{R}_+)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{H}^\lambda(\sigma)(r) = \int_0^\infty j_\lambda(rt) d\sigma(t), \quad \sigma \in M_b(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

In these terms, (6.3) just states that for $\mu \in M^1_k(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\widehat{\mu}^k(\xi) \;=\; \mathcal{H}^\lambda\big(p(\mu)\big)(|\xi|). \tag{6.5}$$

We also recall from hypergroup theory that the Hankel transfrom $\mathcal{H}^\lambda$ is multiplicative w.r.t. the convolution $\circ_\lambda$ on $M_b(\mathbb{R}_+)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{H}^\lambda(\sigma \circ_\lambda \tau) = \mathcal{H}^\lambda(\sigma)\mathcal{H}^\lambda(\tau) \quad \text{for all } \sigma,\, \tau \in M_b(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

Lemma 6.5. *$M^1_k(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a commutative semigroup with respect to $*_k$ with neutral element δ_0. The mapping $p : (M^1_k(\mathbb{R}^N), *_k) \to (M^1(\mathbb{R}_+), \circ_\lambda)$, $\mu \mapsto p(\mu)$ establishes an isometric isomorphism of semigroups as well as a homeomorphism with respect to the weak topologies on both spaces.*

Proof. It is obvious that p is an isometric bijection from $M^1_k(\mathbb{R}^N)$ onto $M^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Moreover, by Lévy's continuity theorem for the Dunkl transform and the Hankel transform respectively, we deduce from (6.5) that p is a homeomorphism w.r.t. the weak topologies on the stated spaces. Commutativity of $*_k$ and the statement on the neutral element are clear. It remains to prove that $M^1_k(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is closed with

respect to $*_k$, and that p is multiplicative. For this, let $\mu,\nu \in M^1_k(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then by (6.4) and (6.5),

$$\begin{aligned}(\mu *_k \nu)^{\wedge k}(\xi) &= \widehat{\mu}^{\,k}(\xi)\widehat{\nu}^{\,k}(\xi) = \mathcal{H}^\lambda(p(\mu))(|\xi|)\mathcal{H}^\lambda(p(\nu))(|\xi|)\\ &= \mathcal{H}^\lambda(p(\mu)\circ_\lambda p(\nu))(|\xi|)\end{aligned}$$

Let $\tau \in M^1_k(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $p(\tau) = p(\mu)\circ_\lambda p(\nu)$. Then the above calculation shows that $(\mu *_k \nu)^{\wedge k} = \widehat{\tau}^{\,k}$. The injectivity of the Dunkl transform implies that $\mu *_k \nu = \tau \in M^1_k(\mathbb{R}^N)$, as well as

$$p(\mu *_k \nu) = p(\tau) = p(\mu)\circ_\lambda p(\nu).$$

This finishes the proof. □

Recall that for two Markov kernels P, Q on $\mathbb{R}^N$, the composition $P\circ Q$ is defined by

$$P\circ Q(x,A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(z,A)P(x,dz).$$

If P and Q are k-invariant, then $P\circ Q$ is again k-invariant with

$$\big((P\circ Q)(x,\,.\,)\big)^{\wedge k}(\xi) = P(0,\cdot)^{\wedge k}(\xi)\cdot Q(0,\cdot)^{\wedge k}(\xi)\cdot E_k(-ix,\xi) \quad (x,\xi\in\mathbb{R}^N),$$

c.f. [24]. A family $(P_t)_{t\geq 0}$ of k-invariant Markov kernels on $\mathbb{R}^N$ is called a semigroup of k-invariant Markov kernels, if the following statements hold:

(i) The kernels $(P_t)_{t\geq 0}$ form a semigroup, i.e. $P_s\circ P_t = P_{s+t}$ for $s,t\geq 0$.
(ii) The mapping $\mathbb{R}_+ \to M^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $t\mapsto P_t(0,\,.\,)$ is weakly continuous.

The above results enable us to construct semigroups of k-invariant Markov kernels from convolution semigroups with respect to the hypergroup structure $\circ_\lambda$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$.

Definition 6.6. A family $(\sigma_t)_{t\geq 0}\subset M^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ of probability measures on $\mathbb{R}_+$ is called a convolution semigroup on $\mathbb{R}_+$ with respect to $\circ_\lambda$, if $\sigma_s\circ_\lambda\sigma_t = \sigma_{s+t}$ for all $s,t\geq 0$ with $\sigma_0 = \delta_0$, and if the mapping $\mathbb{R}_+\to M^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $t\mapsto\sigma_t$ is weakly continuous.

Theorem 6.7. *Suppose that $(\sigma_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a convolution semigroup on $\mathbb{R}_+$ w.r.t. $\circ_\lambda$, and define $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}\subset M^1_k(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by $\mu_t := p^{-1}(\sigma_t)\in M^1_k(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then $\mu_s *_k \mu_t = \mu_{s+t}$ for all $s,t\geq 0$, and $P_t(x,A) := \delta_x\circ_k\mu_t$ defines a semigroup of k-invariant Markov kernels on $\mathbb{R}^N$.*

Proof. Let $s,t\geq 0$. Then by Lemma 6.5,

$$p(\mu_s *_k \mu_t) = \nu_s\circ_\lambda\nu_t = \nu_{s+t} = p(\mu_{s+t}).$$

As p is injective on $M^1_k(\mathbb{R}^N)$, this proves that $\mu_s *_k \mu_t = \mu_{s+t}$. Further, the weak continuity of the mapping $t\mapsto\mu_t$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ is clear from the continuity of p^{-1}. According to Theorem 6.3, each P_t is a k-invariant Markov kernel with $P_t(0,\,.\,) = \mu_t$, and

$$\begin{aligned}(P_s\circ P_t)(x,.)^{\wedge k}(\xi) &= E_k(-ix,\xi)P_s(0,.)^{\wedge k}(\xi)\,P_t(0,.)^{\wedge k}(\xi)\\ &= E_k(-ix,\xi)\widehat{\mu}^{\,k}_s(\xi)\widehat{\mu}^{\,k}_t(\xi) = E_k(-ix,\xi)\widehat{\mu}^{\,k}_{s+t}(\xi) = P_{s+t}(x,.)^{\wedge k}(\xi).\end{aligned}$$

This implies the semigroup property of $(P_t)_{t\geq 0}$. □

Examples 6.8. All the examples for semigroups of k-invariant Markov kernels discussed in [24] fit into the concept of Theorem 6.7:
(1) (k-Gaussian semigroups.) The k-Gaussian semigroup $(P_t^\Gamma)_{t\geq 0}$ is defined by

$$P_t^\Gamma(x,A) = \int_A \Gamma_k(t,x,y) w_k(y) dy\,, \quad (t>0)$$

with the Dunkl-type heat kernel Γ_k (c.f. (3.1)). It is k-invariant and defines a Feller process on $\mathbb{R}^N$, the Dunkl-type Brownian motion. According to (3.2) and Lemma 2.2, we may write

$$\Gamma_k(t,x,y) = F_k(t, -x *_k y)$$

with

$$F_k(t,x) := \Gamma_k(t,x,0) \;=\; \frac{1}{(2t)^{\lambda+1} c_k} e^{-|x|^2/4t}\,.$$

For $t>0$, put

$$d\mu_t(x) := F_k(t,x) w_k(x) dx \in M_k^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Then $\sigma_t := p(\mu_t) \in M_\gamma^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is given by the Rayleigh distribution

$$d\sigma_t(r) = \frac{d_k}{(2t)^{\lambda+1} c_k} r^{2\lambda+1} e^{-r^2/4t},\ t>0.$$

It is well-known (see e.g. 7.3.18 of [5]) that $(\nu_t)_{t\geq 0}$ (with $\nu_0 := 0$) is a convolution semigroup on $\mathbb{R}_+$ w.r.t. $\circ_\lambda$. It defines a Bessel process of index λ. In order to see that $(P_t^\Gamma)_{t\geq 0}$ is associated with $(\sigma_t)_{t\geq 0}$ as in Theorem 6.7, it remains to verify that

$$\delta_x *_k \mu_t \;=\; P_t^\Gamma(x,\,.\,).$$

But if $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then by use of Lemma 2.2

$$\delta_x *_k \mu_t(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_k(t,y) f(x *_k y) w_k(y) dy \;=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Gamma_k(t,x,y) f(y) w_k(y) dy.$$

This yields the claimed identity.

(2) (Subordination) If $(P_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a semigroup of k-invariant Markov kernels and $(\rho_t)_{t\geq 0} \subset M^1(\mathbb{R})$ is a convolution semigroup of probability measures on the group $(\mathbb{R},+)$ (in the sense of [4]) which is supported by $\mathbb{R}_+$, then a subordinated semigroup $(\widetilde{P}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ of k-invariant Markov kernels is defined by

$$\widetilde{P}_t(x,A) = \int_0^\infty P_s(x,A) d\rho_t(s).$$

Suppose now that $(P_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is associated with a $\circ_\lambda$- convolution semigroup $(\sigma_t)_{t\geq 0}$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ according to Theorem 6.7. Then it is immediate that $(\widetilde{P}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is associated in the same way with the $\circ_\lambda$- convolution semigroup $(\widetilde{\sigma}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ defined by

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_t := \int_0^\infty \sigma_s \, d\rho_t(s).$$

This example in particular includes the k-Cauchy kernels in [24].

7. Appendix: Generalized Bessel functions as spherical functions

For crystallographic reflection groups and certain discrete sets of half-integer multiplicity functions, generalized Bessel functions have an interpretation as the spherical functions of a Euclidean-type symmetric space. This in particular implies a positive product formula as stated in (1.3).

To become more precise, let us first recall the context from [20] and [16]: Suppose that $\mathcal{G}$ is a connected, non-compact semisimple Lie group with finite center. Choose a maximal compact subgroup $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathcal{G}$, and let $\mathcal{K} \ltimes \mathfrak{p}$ be the Cartan motion group associated with the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$; here $\mathcal{K}$ acts on $\mathfrak{p}$ via the adjoint representation. Choose a maximal abelian subspace $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$, and denote by by $\Sigma \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$ the roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$. We consider Σ as a subset of $\mathfrak{a}$, identifying $\mathfrak{a}$ with its dual via the Killing form B, and denote by G the Weyl group of Σ, acting on the Euclidean space $(\mathfrak{a}, B) \cong (\mathbb{R}^N, \langle\,.\,,\,.\,\rangle)$. Let us consider the spherical functions of the Gelfand pair $(\mathcal{K} \ltimes \mathfrak{p}, \mathcal{K})$ as $\mathcal{K}$-invariant functions on $(\mathcal{K} \ltimes \mathfrak{p})/\mathcal{K} \cong \mathfrak{p}$. According to Chap. IV of [12], they are of the form $\psi_\lambda\,,\ \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}$, where $\psi_\lambda \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{p})$ is characterized as the unique $\mathcal{K}$-invariant solution of the joint eigenvalue problem

$$\partial(p)\psi \,=\, p(\lambda)\psi \quad \text{for all } p \in I(\mathfrak{p}), \quad \psi(0) = 1;$$

here $I(\mathfrak{p})$ is the space of $\mathcal{K}$-invariants in the symmetric algebra over $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\partial(p)$ is the constant coefficient differential operator associated with p. Further, $\psi_\lambda = \psi_\mu$ iff $\mu = g\lambda$ for some $g \in G$. By taking radial parts of constant coefficient differential operators on $\mathfrak{p}$, it can now be shown that the restriction $\psi_\lambda|_\mathfrak{a}$ (which is G-invariant and determines ψ_λ uniquely) coincides with the a generalized Bessel function $J_k(\,.\,,\lambda)$ associated with G; the multiplicity function k is given by $k(\alpha) = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}\alpha \cap \Sigma} m_\beta$, with m_α the multiplicity of $\alpha \in \Sigma$. A detailed proof of this can be found in [16].

The convolution of $\mathcal{K}$-biinvariant functions on the Cartan motion group can be interpreted as the convolution of a commutative hypergroup structure on the double coset space $(\mathcal{K} \ltimes \mathfrak{p})//\mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{K}\setminus\mathfrak{p}$ which is defined by

$$\delta_{\mathcal{K}\cdot x} * \delta_{\mathcal{K}\cdot y} \,=\, \int_\mathcal{K} \delta_{\mathcal{K}\cdot(x+k\cdot y)}\, dk, \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{p}.$$

(See [17], Chap. 8 for information about double coset and orbit hypergroups.) If $\mathfrak{a}_+$ is an arbitrary fixed Weyl chamber of $\mathfrak{a}$, then each orbit $\mathcal{K}\cdot x$ contains a unique $x_+ \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}_+}$, and the mapping $\mathcal{K}\setminus\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \overline{\mathfrak{a}_+}$, $\mathcal{K}\cdot x \to x_+$ is a homeomorphism; see [12], Prop. I.5.18. Thus, we obtain an induced hypergroup structure on the closed chamber $\overline{\mathfrak{a}_+}$ which is determined by the convolution

$$\delta_x * \delta_y \,=\, \int_\mathcal{K} \delta_{(x+k\cdot y)_+} dk\,, \quad x, y \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}_+}. \tag{7.1}$$

In particular, the $\delta_x * \delta_y$ are compactly supported probability measures on $\overline{\mathfrak{a}_+}$. The identity element of this hypergroup is 0, and the involution is given by $(x_+)^- = ((-x)_+)^-$. From (7.1) together with the characterization of the spherical functions ψ_λ by means of their product formula, we see that the set

$$\{\psi \in C(\overline{\mathfrak{a}_+}) : \delta_x * \delta_y(\psi) = \psi(x)\psi(y)\}$$

of continuous, multiplicative functions on the hypergroup $\overline{\mathfrak{a}_+}$ consists exactly of the functions $\psi_\lambda\,,\ \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}$. With the identification $(\mathfrak{a}, B) \cong (\mathbb{R}^N, \langle\,.\,,\,.\,\rangle)$, $\mathfrak{a}_+ \cong C$ and

J_k as defined above, we in particular obtain

$$J_k(x,\lambda)J_k(y,\lambda) = \int_{\overline{C}} J_k(\xi,\lambda)d(\delta_x * \delta_y)(\xi) \quad \text{for all } x,y \in \overline{C},\ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N.$$

Suppose now that $\mathcal{G}$ is complex. Then $k(\alpha) = 1$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and the associated Bessel function $J_{\mathbf{1}}(\,.\,,\lambda)$ is given by

$$J_{\mathbf{1}}(x,\lambda) \;=\; c\sum_{g\in G} \frac{\det(g)}{\pi(x)\pi(\lambda)}\, e^{\langle x, g\lambda\rangle}$$

with some constant $c \in \mathbb{C}$; here π denotes the fundamental alternating polynomial

$$\pi(x) = \prod_{\alpha\in R_+} \langle \alpha, x\rangle\,,$$

c.f. [9], Prop. 1.4. (This result remains obviously true if not a single G-orbit in R, but any G-invariant subset, in particular R itself, is considered). The Laplace representation (2.3) for E_k implies that for all $x,\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$J_{\mathbf{1}}(x,\lambda) \;=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{\langle \xi,\lambda\rangle} d\widetilde{\mu}_x(\xi)$$

with the G-invariant probability measure

$$\widetilde{\mu}_x := \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G} \mu^{\mathbf{1}}_{gx}.$$

For $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, denote further by $\nu_{x,y} \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the image measure of $\widetilde{\mu}_x$ under the translation $\xi \mapsto \xi + y$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\mathbf{1}}(x,\lambda)J_{\mathbf{1}}(y,\lambda) \;&=\; c\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sum_{g\in G} \frac{\det(g)}{\pi(y)\pi(\lambda)} e^{\langle y+\xi, g\lambda\rangle} d\widetilde{\mu}_x(\xi) \\ &= c\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sum_{g\in G} \frac{\pi(\xi)}{\pi(y)}\frac{\det(g)}{\pi(\xi)\pi(\lambda)} e^{\langle \xi, g\lambda\rangle} d\nu_{x,y}(\xi) \;=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} J_{\mathbf{1}}(\xi,\lambda)\frac{\pi(\xi)}{\pi(y)} d\nu_{x,y}(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that in the present case, the hypergroup convolution on $\overline{C}$ is given by $\delta_x * \delta_y = \pi(y)^{-1}\rho_{x,y}$, where $\rho_{x,y}$ is the image measure of $\pi\nu_{x,y}$ under the canonical projection $\mathbb{R}^N \to G\backslash\mathbb{R}^N \cong \overline{C}$. Up to a common multiplictive factor, the spherical functions ψ_λ of $(\mathcal{K} \ltimes \mathfrak{p}, \mathcal{K})$ can be identified with the spherical functions Φ_λ of the symmetric space $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{K}$, c.f. [12], Prop. IV.4.10. So the Φ_λ satisfy a slightly modified positive product formula, which has recently been investigated in [11]. The representing measures are absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure in the generic case, and the authors obtain detailed information on their supports.

References

1. M. Abramowitz, I.A. Stegun, *Pocketbook of Mathematical Functions.* Verlag Harri Deutsch, Frankfurt/Main, 1984.
2. R. Askey, *Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions.* SIAM, Philadelphia, 1975.
3. Berest, Y., *The problem of lacunas and analysis on root systems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **252** (2000), 3743–3776.
4. C. Berg, G. Forst, *Potential Theory on Locally Compact Abelian Groups.* Springer-Verlag, 1975.
5. W. Bloom, H. Heyer, *Harmonic Analysis of Probability Measures on Hypergroups.* De Gruyter-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

6. C.F. Dunkl, *Differential-difference operators associated to reflection groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **311** (1989), 167–183.
7. C.F. Dunkl, *Integral kernels with reflection group invariance*, Canad. J. Math. **43** (1991), 1213 – 1227.
8. C.F. Dunkl, *Hankel transforms associated to finite reflection groups*, in "Proc. of the special session on hypergeometric functions on domains of positivity, Jack polynomials and applications,"Tampa 1991, *Contemp. Math.* **138** (1992), 123–138.
9. C.F. Dunkl, *Intertwining operators associated to the group S_3*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.**347** (1995), 3347–3374.
10. C.F. Dunkl, Y. Xu, *Orthogonal Polynomials of Several Variables.* Cambridge Univ. Press, 2001.
11. P. Graczyk, P. Sawyer, *The product formula for the spherical functions on symmetric spaces in the complex case.* Pacific J. Math. **204** (2002), 377–393.
12. S. Helgason, *Groups and Geometric Analysis.* American Mathematical Society, 1984.
13. L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operoators I.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
14. J.E. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups.* Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.
15. M.F.E. de Jeu, *The Dunkl transform*, Invent. Math. **113** (1993), 147–162.
16. M.F.E. de Jeu, *Dunkl operators*, Thesis Leiden University, 1994.
17. R.I. Jewett, *Spaces with an abstract convolution of measures.* Adv. Math. **18** (1975), 1–101.
18. J.F.C. Kingman, *Random walks with spherical symmetry*, Acta. Math. **109** (1965), 11–53.
19. H. Mejjaoli, K. Trimèche, *On a mean value property associated with the Dunkl Laplacian operator and applications*, Integral Transform. Spec. Funct. **12** (2001), 279–302.
20. E.M. Opdam, *Dunkl operators, Bessel functions and the discriminant of a finite Coxeter group*, Compos. Math. **85** (1993), 333–373.
21. M. Rösler, *Bessel-type signed hypergroups on* $\mathbb{R}$, in: H. Heyer, A. Mukherjea (eds.), Probability measures on groups and related structures XI, Proc. Oberwolfach 1994. World Scientific, Singapore, 1995, 292–304.
22. M. Rösler, *Generalized Hermite polynomials and the heat equation for Dunkl operators*, Comm. Math. Phys. **192** (1998), 519–542.
23. M. Rösler, *Positivity of Dunkl's intertwining operator*, Duke Math. J. **98** (1999), 445–463.
24. M. Rösler, M. Voit, *Markov Processes related with Dunkl operators*, Adv. Appl. Math. **21** (1998), 575–643.
25. M. Rosenblum, *Generalized Hermite polynomials and the Bose-like oscillator calculus*, in: Operator Theory: Advances and Applications **73**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1994, 369 – 396.
26. G. Szegö, *Orthogonal Polynomials.* Amer. Math. Soc., New York, 1959.
27. K. Trimèche, *Transformation intégrale de Weyl et théorème de Paley-Wiener associés à un opérateur différentiel singulier sur* $(0, \infty)$, J. Math. Pures et Appl. **60** (1981), 51–98.
28. K. Trimèche, *Paley-Wiener Theorems for the Dunkl transform and Dunkl translation operators*, Integral Transform. Spec. Funct. **13** (2002), 17–38.
29. G.N. Watson, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions.* Cambridge Univ. Press, 1966.
30. Y. Xu, *Integration of the intertwining operator for h-harmonic polynomials associated to reflection groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 2963–2973.

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Göttingen, Bunsenstr. 3–5, D-37073 Göttingen, Germany

E-mail address: roesler@uni-math.gwdg.de